

California Earthquake Early Warning System (CEEWS) Benefit-Cost Assessment

**Presentation to the California Earthquake Early
Warning Advisory Board**

June 25, 2025



Presenter:
Sharyl Rabinovici, PhD
Consultant / Project Lead



Sharyl Rabinovici, Ph.D.
EEW Expert

Amarnath Kasalanati, PhD
Project Specialist

Laurie Johnson, Ph.D.
EEW Expert

Jeri Sawyer, M.S.
Economist

Dan Acland, PhD
Economist

Selim Gunay, PhD
Project Specialist

External Advisor:
Keith Porter, PhD
SPA Risk, LLC



California EEW BCA Project: Goals and Methods

What is the current state of EEW operations, use, benefits, and costs?

- Stakeholder interviews
- Literature review/analysis
- Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA)


What opportunities exist to increase system reach and impacts?



EEW Developments Worldwide and in California

Seismic- and geodetic-based EEW systems in at least 23 nations:

- 10 countries with operational public-alerting systems: Japan, Mexico, USA, China, India, Taiwan, Turkey, Canada, South Korea, Romania, Italy
- 13 countries in development, testing, or restricted use



California's Strong Leadership: *CEEWS powered by ShakeAlert™*

- Global leadership on earthquake detection and processing science / technology
- Early and sustained financial commitment
- Leveraged assets and partnerships
- Embedded in public safety / preparedness (e.g., Great ShakeOut)
- Dense instrumentation (90%+)
- Successful alert track record
- Vendor ecosystem
- Growing public interest and support



Qualitative Interview Coverage

- 17 stakeholder interviews: 22 people from 14 organizations, including 9 “License-to-Operate” (LtO) technical partners

Category	California	Other U.S. Regions	National	Global
Seismic network design and operation	√	√		
Real-time seismic data analysis / alerting	√	√	√	√
EEW program management	√		√	
EEW first-tier user / LtO / pilot partners	√	√		√
Automated EEW application users	√			
Human response EEW application users	√			
Emergency managers	√	√		
Social scientists		√	√	

LtO Types & Their Importance to Program Strategy

Personal Device Delivery	Specialized Vendor	Add-On Vendor	Institutional
Use telecom infrastructure to distribute EEW alerts to personal electronic devices	Specific EEW delivery solutions for public and private clients	Integrate EEW into broader multi-hazard notification platforms serving public and private clients	Directly use EEW to protect assets, operations, and persons on-site
<p><i>Android/Google</i></p> <p><i>MyShake / UC Berkeley Seismology Lab</i></p> <p><i>FEMA IPAWS / WEA*</i></p>	<p><i>Early Warning Labs</i></p> <p><i>Kinometrics</i></p> <p><i>RH2 Engineering</i></p> <p><i>SkyAlert</i></p> <p><i>Varius</i></p>	<p><i>AlertFM</i></p> <p><i>Everbridge</i></p> <p><i>Genasys</i></p> <p><i>Valcom</i></p>	<p><i>Allen Institute</i></p> <p><i>BART</i></p> <p><i>Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL)</i></p> <p><i>JPL Deep Space Network</i></p> <p><i>MetroLink (SCRRA)</i></p>

*FEMA IPAWS/WEA is not officially an LtO, but still a critical technical partner for alert delivery.

Quantitative BCA Use Case Coverage & Approach

Use Cases Considered
Personal Protective Action Alerts:
Smartphone Notifications
School Public Address Alerts
Automated Controls:
Mass Transit
High-Rise Elevators

We consistently apply conservative assumptions, rather than “best case” or “best guess”


- High confidence in using these numbers to set priorities and make decisions with trade-offs

Use Case Findings: Success with Smartphones

California has widespread, fast, and reliable smartphone notifications with measurable injury avoidance benefits.

Benefits considered: Avoided physical injuries and PTSD

→ **Per earthquake, \$574 benefit per person** in locations with VI+ shaking that receives a warning of ≥ 10 sec






Untapped Potential for School PA Alerts

High importance & low cost; small *current* benefits due to low coverage.

- <2% public school children
- High non-monetary barriers to adoption

→ **\$272 benefit per student at a school with VI+ shaking that receives ≥ 10 sec warning**
(kids do DCHO better than adults)




Automated Mass Transit Control: Millions of Safer Rides Each Month



Successful implementation for both BART and Metrolink passenger trains.


- Benefits considered: Avoided casualties and PTSD, car replacement
- Benefits depend on train speed when alert is received, predicted shaking intensity, and distance to epicenter

Computation of Benefits for a Use Case & Region



- Calculate distance and alert arrival time for 112 segments in BART network
- Use OpenSHA Intensity Measure tool to estimate benefits for each relevant UCERF3 rupture*
- Calculate the resulting expected EEW benefit for BART using the probability of occurrence of each earthquake

- Similar methodology for the other use cases, but with points of interest being buildings with people, children, or elevators



Magnitude 7.0 on Hayward (UCERF Rupture ID 102943)

*Uniform California Earthquake Rupture Forecast, Version 3 (USGS, CGS, SCEC 2013)

Elevator Control Findings

Minimal uptake in this highly regulated, standards-driven market.

- Common in Japan but not elsewhere
- Benefits considered: injury via dehydration from entrapment due to power loss
- Lack of data to estimate additional indirect benefits



Bottom Line

1. **CEEWS is a comparatively low-cost/high value public safety program that makes unique mitigation possible**
 - Public's willingness to pay for EEW to exist implies benefits outweigh costs at least 20-to-1 annually
2. **Program is positioned to grow its impacts significantly if fortified and focused on high-value initiatives**
 - Full report offers many insights/suggestions for CEEWS sustainability, strengthening, targeting, and expansion

*Recognition and gratitude to all our research participants
and colleagues—past, present, and future.*

Your Questions / Discussion

Contact Information & Contributors:

Project Lead: Sharyl Rabinovici, PhD
Email: srabinovici12@gmail.com
Mobile: 650-207-6544

Qualitative Lead: Laurie A. Johnson, PhD (Laurie Johnson Consulting)
Principal Investigator: Khalid Mosalam, PhD (UC Berkeley/PEER)
Geospatial Modelling: Selim Günay PhD (UC Berkeley/PEER)
BCA Models: Dan Acland, PhD (UC Berkeley Goldman School)
Research Support: Jeri Sawyer, MS (Greene Economics LLC)
BCA Model Advisor: Keith Porter, PhD (SPA Risk LLC)

