Functional Area of Experience/Knowledge

- Banking and Finance: 0% (0)
- Chemical and Hazardous Materials: 1% (1)
- Commercial Facilities: 0% (0)
- Critical Manufacturing: 0% (0)
- Communications: 0% (0)
- Dams: 2% (2)
- Defense Industrial Base: 0% (0)
- Emergency Services: 41% (36)
- Energy: 0% (0)
- Food and Agriculture: 3% (3)
- Government: 28% (24)
- Healthcare and Public Health: 6% (5)
- Information Technology: 2% (2)
- Nuclear Reactors, Materials, and Waste: 0% (0)
- Transportation: 1% (1)
- Water and Wastewater: 1% (1)
- Multiple Sectors: 7% (6)
- Other: 7% (6)
**Affiliation**

- **Local Government**: 51% (44)
- **State Government**: 40% (35)
- **Federal Government**: 6% (5)
- **Non-Governmental Organization**: 0% (0)
- **Academic Institution/Organization**: 3% (3)
- **Private Sector**: 0% (0)
- **Tribal**: 0% (0)
- **Other**: 0% (0)

*87 total responses, 100% of submissions*
Geographic Area of Experience/Knowledge

- Entire State: 31% (27)
- Central California: 2% (2)
- Northern California: 14% (12)
- Southern California: 20% (17)
- Anaheim/Santa Ana UASI: 22% (19)
- Bay Area UASI: 5% (4)
- Los Angeles/Long Beach UASI: 0% (0)
- Riverside UASI: 0% (0)
- Sacramento UASI: 3% (3)
- San Diego UASI: 0% (0)
- Other: 3% (3)

* 87 total responses, 100% of submissions

Earthquake One

- Agree. This is a reasonable context for this threat/hazard: 95% (78)
- Disagree. This is not a reasonable context for this threat/hazard: 5% (4)

* 82 total responses, 94% of submissions

Earthquake Two

- Agree. This is a reasonable context for this threat/hazard: 99% (78)
- Disagree. This is not a reasonable context for this threat/hazard: 1% (1)

* 79 total responses, 91% of submissions
Earthquake Three

Agree. This is a reasonable context for this threat/hazard 91% (73)
Disagree. This is not a reasonable context for this threat/hazard 9% (7)

* 80 total responses, 92% of submissions

Flood

Agree. This is a reasonable context for this threat/hazard 92% (71)
Disagree. This is not a reasonable context for this threat/hazard 8% (6)

* 77 total responses, 89% of submissions

Wildfire

Agree. This is a reasonable context for this threat/hazard 94% (74)
Disagree. This is not a reasonable context for this threat/hazard 6% (5)

* 79 total responses, 91% of submissions

Epidemic/Pandemic

Agree. This is a reasonable context for this threat/hazard 77% (60)
Disagree. This is not a reasonable context for this threat/hazard 23% (18)

* 78 total responses, 90% of submissions
### Agricultural Pests and Diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agree. This is a reasonable context for this threat/hazard</th>
<th>74% (54)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disagree. This is not a reasonable context for this threat/hazard</td>
<td>26% (19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 73 total responses, 84% of submissions

### Drought

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agree. This is a reasonable context for this threat/hazard</th>
<th>85% (70)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disagree. This is not a reasonable context for this threat/hazard</td>
<td>15% (12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 82 total responses, 94% of submissions

### Tsunami

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agree. This is a reasonable context for this threat/hazard</th>
<th>88% (64)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disagree. This is not a reasonable context for this threat/hazard</td>
<td>12% (9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 73 total responses, 84% of submissions

### Volcano One

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agree. This is a reasonable context for this threat/hazard</th>
<th>70% (46)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disagree. This is not a reasonable context for this threat/hazard</td>
<td>32% (21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 66 total responses, 76% of submissions
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threat/Hazard</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volcano Two</strong></td>
<td>63% (40)</td>
<td>37% (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hazardous Material Release One</strong></td>
<td>93% (74)</td>
<td>8% (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hazardous Material Release Two</strong></td>
<td>87% (69)</td>
<td>13% (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cyber-terrorism directed against critical infrastructure One</strong></td>
<td>88% (72)</td>
<td>13% (11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 63 total responses, 72% of submissions
* 80 total responses, 92% of submissions
* 79 total responses, 91% of submissions
* 82 total responses, 94% of submissions
### Cyber-terrorism directed against critical infrastructure Two

| Agree. This is a reasonable context for this threat/hazard | 92% (73) |
| Disagree. This is not a reasonable context for this threat/hazard | 8% (6) |

* 79 total responses, 91% of submissions

### Multiple attackers at a single mass gathering/economic target

| Agree. This is a reasonable context for this threat/hazard | 88% (67) |
| Disagree. This is not a reasonable context for this threat/hazard | 12% (9) |

* 76 total responses, 87% of submissions

### Vehicle-borne Improvised Explosive Device (VBIED) to critical infrastructure

| Agree. This is a reasonable context for this threat/hazard | 91% (71) |
| Disagree. This is not a reasonable context for this threat/hazard | 9% (7) |

* 78 total responses, 90% of submissions

### Vehicle-borne Improvised Explosive Device (VBIED) to a mass gathering location

| Agree. This is a reasonable context for this threat/hazard | 88% (67) |
| Disagree. This is not a reasonable context for this threat/hazard | 13% (10) |

* 76 total responses, 87% of submissions